

**Natural Resources Conservation Service
Application Ranking Summary
SW Willow Flycatcher**

Program: EQIP 2014	Ranking Date:
Ranking Tool: SW Willow Flycatcher	
Final Ranking Score:	
Planner:	
Farm Location:	

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
If the application is for development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP), the agency will assign significant ranking priority and conservation benefit by answering “Yes” to the following question. Answering “Yes” to question 1a will result in the application being awarded the maximum amount of points that can be earned for the national priority category.	
1. a. Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If answer is “Yes”, do not answer any other national level questions. If answer is “No”, proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section.	250 Point(s)
Water Quality Degradation – Will the proposed project improve water quality by: (select all that apply)	
2. a. Implementing the practices in a Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP)?	15 Point(s)
2. b. Implementing the practices in a Nutrient Management Plan (NMP)?	10 Point(s)
2. c. Reducing impacts from sediment, nutrients, salinity, or pesticides on land adjoining a designated “impaired water body” (TMDL, 303d listed waterbody, or other State designation)?	10 Point(s)
2. d. Reducing the impacts from sediment, nutrients, salinity, or pesticides in a “non-impaired water body”?	10 Point(s)
2. e. Implementing practices that improve water quality through animal mortality and carcass management?	10 Point(s)
Water Conservation – Will the proposed project conserve water by: (select all that apply)	

3. a. Implementing irrigation practices that reduce aquifer overdraft.	15 Point(s)
3. b. Implementing irrigation practices that reduce on-farm water use?	10 Point(s)
3. c. Implementing practices in an area where the applicant participates in a geographically established or watershed-wide project?	10 Point(s)
3. d. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm water use as a result of changing to crops with lower water consumptive use, the rotation of crops, or the modification of cultural operations?	10 Point(s)
Air Quality - Will the proposed project improve air quality by: (select all that apply)	
4. a. Meeting on-farm regulatory requirements relating to air quality or proactively avoid the need for regulatory measures?	10 Point(s)
4. b. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm emissions of particulate matter (PM2.5, PM10)?	10 Point(s)
4. c. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm generated greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide (CO ₂), methane (CH ₄), and nitrous oxide (N ₂ O)?	10 Point(s)
4. d. Implementing practices that increase on-farm carbon sequestration?	10 Point(s)
Soil Health:- Will the proposed project improve soil health by: (select all that apply)	
5. a. Reduce erosion to tolerable limits (Soil "T")?	10 Point(s)
5. b. Increasing organic matter and carbon content, and improving soil tilth and structure?	10 Point(s)
Wildlife Habitat – Will the proposed project improve wildlife habitat by: (select all that apply)	
6. a. Implementing practices benefitting threatened and endangered, at-risk, candidate, or species of concern.	10 Point(s)
6. b. Implementing practices that retain wildlife and plant habitat on land exiting the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) or other set-aside program?	10 Point(s)
6. c. Implementing practices benefitting honey bee populations or other pollinators?	10 Point(s)
6. d. Implementing land-based practices that improve habitat for aquatic wildlife?	10 Point(s)

Plant and Animal Communities: Will the proposed project improve plant and animal communities by: (select all that apply)	
7. a. Implementing practices that result in the management control of noxious or invasive plant species on non-cropland?	10 Point(s)
7. b. Implementing practice in an Integrated Pest Management Plan (IPM)?	10 Point(s)
Energy Conservation– Will the proposed project reduce energy use by: (select all that apply)	
8. a. Reducing on-farm energy consumption?	10 Point(s)
8. b. Implementing practice(s) identified in an approved AgEMP or energy audit, which meet ASABE S612 criteria?	10 Point(s)
Business Lines – Will the practices to be scheduled in the “EQIP Plan of Operations” result in:	
9. a. Enhancement of existing conservation practice(s) or conservation systems already in place at the time the application is received?	10 Point(s)

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Is there credible verification of species occurrence (for example, photos, Heritage Database, USFWS, NRCS or State fish and wildlife agency documentation)?	200 Point(s)
2. The offered area shares a common border with an area with known populations of the target species?	150 Point(s)
3. The offered area is proximal to an area with a known population of the target species. “Proximal” means within the accepted normal species dispersal ability? Note: NRCS will respect privacy of adjacent landowners	50 Point(s)

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
Extent Addressed. Will implementation of the proposed project: answer only one of the following questions (1-7). Use the SW Willow Flycatcher WHEG overall HSI improvement score (FOTG, Section I, Bio.Tech Note 40). If using more than WHEG on the project area, use a weighted average. *At least one threat must be addressed to be eligible for this initiative (HSI improvement score cannot be zero).	

1. Increase the overall Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) by 0.7 - 1.0?	100 Point(s)
2. Increase the overall Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) by 0.6?	70 Point(s)
3. Increase the overall Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) by 0.5?	60 Point(s)
4. Increase the overall Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) by 0.4?	50 Point(s)
5. Increase the overall Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) by 0.3?	30 Point(s)
6. Increase the overall Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) by 0.2?	20 Point(s)
7. Increase the overall Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) by 0.1?	10 Point(s)
Answer any of the following questions (8-11), if applicable.	
8. Will the project restore or enhance the hydrologic function of the stream and/or floodplain on the offered acres? Site must have hydrologic impairment and restoration potential.	100 Point(s)
9. Will the project restore or enhance floodplain wetlands? (e.g. oxbows, sloughs, wet meadows, or stream edge) Must result in slow-moving water or saturated soils present April 15th through Sept 15th in most years.	15 Point(s)
10. Will the project restore or enhance both woody and herbaceous riparian communities?	15 Point(s)
11. Collaboration - Will the proposed project be involved with a formal Coordinated Resource Management Plan (CRMP) or Area-wide Plan?	20 Point(s)

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.