

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Associated Ag Land**

**Soil Erosion**

**Sheet and Rill Erosion**

**Planning Criteria**

Screening level: Permanent ground cover > 90% and slope < 10%.  
Assessment level: The water erosion rate is <= T.

**Planning Criteria Met**

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

All temporary or permanent rills and gullies are stabilized. All areas expected to have high erosion rates are stable.

**Evaluation Test Met**

Yes  No

**Wind Erosion**

**Planning Criteria**

Screening level: Permanent ground cover > 90% and slope < 10%.  
Assessment level: The wind erosion rate is <= T.

**Planning Criteria Met**

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

All temporary or permanent rills and gullies are stabilized. All areas expected to have high erosion rates are stable.

**Evaluation Test Met**

Yes  No

**Classic Gully Erosion**

**Planning Criteria**

Screening level: Classic gullies are not present. Assessment level:  
Classic gully management is adequate to stop the progression of head cutting and widening and are offsite impacts are minimized by vegetation and/or structures.

**Planning Criteria Met**

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

All temporary or permanent rills and gullies are stabilized. All areas expected to have high erosion rates are stable.

**Evaluation Test Met**

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Associated Ag Land**

**Streambank, Shoreline, Water Conveyance Channels**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Streams, shoreline or channels are not adjacent to site.  
Assessment level: For shorelines and water conveyance channels;  
banks are stable or commensurate with normal geomorphological  
processes, AND if bank erosion is present, it is beyond the client's  
control or commensurate with normal geomorphological processes,  
AND for streambanks, SVAP2 bank condition element score > 5.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Excluding all fundamentally unstable, natural geomorphic  
streambanks/shorelines, all streambanks/shorelines on the operation  
show few signs of erosion or bank failure. Each is stable and protected  
with natural materials.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Associated Ag Land**

**Soil Quality Degradation**

**Concentration of Salts and other Chemicals**

**Planning Criteria**

Screening level: Activities do not cause salinity/sodicity problems.  
Assessment level: Conservation practices and managements are in place to mitigate on-site effects.

**Planning Criteria Met**

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

All erodible areas with high chemical concentrations (such as high salts) have been stabilized with permanent vegetation.

**Evaluation Test Met**

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Associated Ag Land**

**Water Quality Degradation**

**Nutrients in Surface Water**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Organic or inorganic nutrients are not applied AND the PLU is not grazed AND there are no confined livestock areas.  
 Assessment level: Nutrients if applied, are based on a soil test, tissue tests or nutrient budget AND conservation practices and managements are in place to minimize surface water impacts.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

The land adjacent to a stream, river, or other waterbody on the side or sides you control does: - have diverse, natural plant cover typical to that along streams in your area, - extend from the stream bank/shoreline for a distance of 35 feet or (if applicable) the minimum State buffer-width requirement, whichever is greater, AND - have few places where concentrated runoff flows through.

Yes  No

**Excess Pathogens and Chemicals from Manure, Bio-solids or Compost Applications in Surface Water**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Potential sources of pathogens or pharmaceuticals are not applied on the land. Assessment level: Organic materials are applied, stored, and/or handled to mitigate negative impacts to surface water sources.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Livestock access to stream is controlled OR limited to small watering or crossing areas.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Associated Ag Land**

**Petroleum, Heavy Metal and Other Pollutants Transported to Surface Water**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Activities do not present the potential for contamination by petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants.  
 Assessment level: Petroleum, heavy metals or other potential pollutants are stored and handled to avoid runoff to surface water.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

The fuel storage area and tank is located: - above the 100-year floodplain, - a minimum of 100 feet from any river, stream, ditch, pond, lake, sinkhole, wetland, or water well, and - within a stable place designed to provide secondary containment if the primary means were to fail.

Yes  No

**Petroleum, Heavy Metal and Other Pollutants Transported to Ground Water**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Activities do not present the potential for contamination by petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants.  
 Assessment level: Petroleum, heavy metals or other potential pollutants are stored and handled to avoid runoff to groundwater.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

The fuel storage area and tank is located: - above the 100-year floodplain, - a minimum of 100 feet from any river, stream, ditch, pond, lake, sinkhole, wetland, or water well, and - within a stable place designed to provide secondary containment if the primary means were to fail.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Associated Ag Land**

**Excessive Sediment in Surface Water**

**Planning Criteria**

Screening level: Permanent ground cover > 90% and slope < 10% AND classic gullies are not present AND streams or shoreline are not on or adjacent to site. Assessment level: Upslope treatment and buffer practices address concentrated flows to water bodies AND the SVAP2 - bank condition  $\geq 5$  AND the livestock and vehicle water crossings are stable AND The water erosion rate is  $\leq T$  AND wind erosion rate is  $\leq T$ .

**Planning Criteria Met**

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

All temporary or permanent rills and gullies are stabilized. All areas expected to have high erosion rates are stable.

**Evaluation Test Met**

Yes  No

**Elevated Water Temperature**

**Planning Criteria**

Screening level: Water courses on or adjacent to the site are not designated by a State Agency as a temperature impairment OR water course temperature is not a client concern. Assessment level: The SVAP2 - riparian area quality element score is  $\geq 5$  AND the SVAP2 - riparian area quantity quality element score is  $\geq 5$  AND the SVAP2 - canopy cover element score is  $\geq 6$ , OR existing conservation practices are in place to address water temperature.

**Planning Criteria Met**

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

More than 50 percent of the water surface is shaded on the length of the stream/river you control.

**Evaluation Test Met**

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Associated Ag Land**

**Air Quality Impacts**

**Emissions of Particulate Matter (PM) and PM Precursors**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Activities are not present that contribute to agricultural source PM or PM precursor emissions AND episodes or complaints of emissions of PM (dust, smoke, exhaust, etc.), or chemical drift have not occurred. PM producing activity examples are: Prescribed Burn is conducted, Travel ways unpaved or treated with binding agents, Engines (combustion source), Tillage, Pesticides are applied, Fertilization (manure/ commercial), CAFO/manure management). Assessment level: PM and PM Precursor emissions are managed to meet client objectives.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Dust is controlled on all non-vegetated, unpaved travel ways.

Yes  No

**Emissions of Ozone Precursors**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Operations are not present that produce ozone precursor emissions. Ozone precursor producing activities are: Engines (combustion source), Pesticide application, Burning, CAFO/manure management, Fertilization (manure/commercial). Assessment level: Ozone precursor emissions are managed to meet client objectives.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Energy-efficient vehicles, equipment, and actions are used to lessen discharges of NOx and SOx. For example, using the minimum level of equipment needed to accomplish the activity, minimizing number of trips into the forest, and leaving woody residue in place if not a fire or pest hazard.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Associated Ag Land**

**Emission of Greenhouse Gases (GHGs)**

**Planning Criteria**

Screening level: Activities are not present that produce GHGs emissions. GHG producing activities are: Fertilization(manure/commercial), CAFO/manure management, Engines (combustion source), Tillage, AND GHGs are not regulated in this planning area. Assessment level: Greenhouse gas emmissions are managed to meet client objectives.

**Planning Criteria Met**

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

Energy-efficient vehicles, equipment, and actions are used to lessen discharges of NOx and SOx. For example, using the minimum level of equipment needed to accomplish the activity, minimizing number of trips into the forest, and leaving woody residue in place if not a fire or pest hazard.

**Evaluation Test Met**

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Associated Ag Land**

**Degraded Plant Condition**

**Inadequate Structure and Composition**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Plant communities support the intended land use and desired ecological functions. Assessment level: Plant communities contain adequate diversity, composition and structure to support desired ecological functions.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

The current plants provide the desired habitat structure and composition.

Yes  No

**Excessive Plant Pest Pressure**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Plant productivity is not limited from pest pressure. Assessment level: Pest damage to plants are below economic or environmental thresholds or client-identified criteria AND plant pests, including noxious and invasive species are managed to meet client objectives.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Invasive and noxious weeds are controlled or not present.

Yes  No

**Wildfire Hazard, Excessive Biomass Accumulation**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Wildfire hazards is not a concern. Assessment level: Fuel loads and fuel ladders are managed to provide defensible space and meet client objectives.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

A hazardous fuel reduction treatment has occurred or will occur.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Associated Ag Land**

**Fish and Wildlife - Inadequate Habitat**

**Inadequate Habitat - Food**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Assessment level: The WHSI rating is  $\geq 0.5$  AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - fish habitat complexity element score is  $\geq 7$  AND the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is  $\geq 7$ , OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR food is available in quality and extent to support habitat requirements for the species of interest.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

The land adjacent to a stream, river, or other waterbody on the side or sides you control does: - have diverse, natural plant cover typical to that along streams in your area, AND - extend from the stream bank/shoreline for a distance of 35 feet or (if applicable) the minimum State buffer-width requirement, whichever is greater.

Yes  No

Existing plants provide food for the chosen declining , threatened, or endangered wildlife species <see State Wildlife Action Plan>

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Associated Ag Land**

**Inadequate Habitat - Cover/Shelter**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Assessment level: The WHSI rating is  $\geq 0.5$  AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - barriers to movement element score is  $\geq 7$  AND the SVAP2 - fish habitat complexity element score is  $\geq 7$  AND the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is  $\geq 7$ , OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR cover is of available quality and extent to support habitat requirements for the species of interest.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

The stream(s) have: - a natural, unaltered configuration, with minimal channel straightening, dredging, or bank alteration by armoring with rip-rap or other non-natural materials, - stable banks with limited erosion or bank failure, and - human uses and/or grazing levels that do not negatively impact bank condition.

Yes  No

Plant growth provides cover/shelter that benefits threatened, endangered, or declining wildlife species. <see State Wildlife Action Plan>

Yes  No

Internally drained features such as playas or potholes are left undrained and uncropped.

Yes  No

**Inadequate Habitat - Water**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Assessment level: The WHSI rating is  $\geq 0.5$  AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is  $\geq 7$ , OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR water is available in quality and extent to support habitat requirements for the species of interest.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Access to water is at the right height, depth and time of year for wildlife species.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Associated Ag Land**

**Inadequate Habitat - Habitat Continuity (Space)**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Assessment level: The WHSI rating is  $\geq 0.5$  AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - barriers to movement element score is  $\geq 7$  AND the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is  $\geq 7$ , OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR The connectivity of habitat components are adequate to support stable populations of targeted species.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Connectivity between food resources and cover and shelter is provided for the chosen wildlife species. <see State Wildlife Action Plan>

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Associated Ag Land**

**Livestock Production Limitation**

**Inadequate Feed and Forage**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Assessment level: When the land use has a "grazed" modifier, livestock forage, roughage and supplemental nutritional requirements addressed.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

The existing feed/forage quantity/quality meet the livestock needs and goals.

Yes  No

**Inadequate Water**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Assessment level: When the land use has a "grazed" modifier, water of acceptable quality and quantity adequately distributed to meet animal needs.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

The livestock has enough drinking water of good quality.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Associated Ag Land**

**Inefficient Energy Use**

**Equipment and Facilities**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Client is not interested in improving equipment and facilities energy efficiency. Assessment level: Major components of a USDA approved energy audit have been implemented that address equipment and facilities to meet client objectives OR On-farm renewable energy and/or energy conserving practices have been implemented to meet client objectives.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Recommendations/components of an energy audit have been applied. The audit addressed equipment and facilities on the farm. For example, energy loss from lighting, drying, refrigeration, heating, or building insulation have been improved.

Yes  No

**Farming/Ranching Practices and Field Operations**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Client is not interested in improving equipment and facilities energy efficiency. Assessment level: Major components of a USDA approved energy audit have been implemented that address equipment and facilities to meet client objectives OR On-farm renewable energy and/or energy conserving practices have been implemented to meet client objectives.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Recommendations/components of an energy audit have been applied. The audit addressed equipment and facilities on the farm. For example, energy loss from lighting, drying, refrigeration, heating, or building insulation have been improved.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Farmstead**

**Soil Erosion**

**Sheet and Rill Erosion**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Permanent ground cover > 90% and slope < 10%.  
 Assessment level: The water erosion rate is <= T.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

All non-traffic areas are vegetated.

Yes  No

All temporary or permanent rills and gullies are stabilized. All areas expected to have high erosion rates are stable.

Yes  No

**Wind Erosion**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Permanent ground cover > 90% and slope < 10%.  
 Assessment level: The wind erosion rate is <= T.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

All temporary or permanent rills and gullies are stabilized. All areas expected to have high erosion rates are stable.

Yes  No

All non-traffic areas are vegetated.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Farmstead**

**Classic Gully Erosion**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Classic gullies are not present. Assessment level: Classic gully management is adequate to stop the progression of head cutting and widening and are offsite impacts are minimized by vegetation and/or structures.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Water runoff from hard surfaces, such as building roofs, is controlled to the point that it does not cause erosion or large streams of water.

Yes  No

All temporary or permanent rills and gullies are stabilized. All areas expected to have high erosion rates are stable.

Yes  No

Soil erosion in areas integrated with trees is controlled. There are no impacts on sensitive vegetation. There are no occurrences or enlargement of gullies.

Yes  No

**Streambank, Shoreline, Water Conveyance Channels**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Streams, shoreline or channels are not adjacent to site. Assessment level: For shorelines and water conveyance channels; banks are stable or commensurate with normal geomorphological processes, AND if bank erosion is present, it is beyond the client's control or commensurate with normal geomorphological processes, AND for streambanks, SVAP2 bank condition element score > 5.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Excluding all fundamentally unstable, natural geomorphic streambanks/shorelines, all streambanks/shorelines on the operation show few signs of erosion or bank failure. Each is stable and protected with natural materials.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Farmstead**

**Soil Quality Degradation**

**Compaction**

**Planning Criteria**

Screening level: Soil compaction is not a problem AND activities do not cause soil compaction problems. Assessment level: Compaction is managed to meet client's production and management objectives.

**Planning Criteria Met**

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

Soil compaction is limited to roads and landings. Tree root growth is not impeded. No more than 15 percent of the forested area is devoted to roads, trails, and landings.

**Evaluation Test Met**

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Farmstead**

**Excess Water**

**Runoff and Flooding and Ponding**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Ponding or flooding not a problem AND activities do not cause ponding/flooding problems. Assessment level: Excess water is managed to meet client's objectives.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Water runoff from hard surfaces, such as building roofs, is controlled to the point that it does not cause flooding or ponding

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Farmstead**

**Water Quality Degradation**

**Pesticides in Surface Water**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Pest control chemicals are not applied. Assessment level: Pesticides are stored, handled, disposed and managed to prevent runoff, spills, leaks and leaching AND conservation practices and managements are in place to minimize surface water impacts.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Pesticides are applied using a site-specific mixture of prevention, avoidance, monitoring, and suppression (PAMS) strategies. Environmental risk screening tool are used (such as WIN-PST or similar LGU approval tool). Application rates and timing are compliant with the label and the conservation plan.

Yes  No

**Pesticides in Ground Water**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Pest control chemicals are not applied. Assessment level: Pesticides are stored, handled, disposed and managed to prevent runoff, spills, leaks and leaching AND conservation practices and managements are in place to minimize ground water impacts.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Pesticides are applied using a site-specific mixture of prevention, avoidance, monitoring, and suppression (PAMS) strategies. Environmental risk screening tool are used (such as WIN-PST or similar LGU approval tool). Application rates and timing are compliant with the label and the conservation plan.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Farmstead**

**Nutrients in Surface Water**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Organic or inorganic nutrients are not applied AND the PLU is not grazed AND there are no confined livestock areas.  
 Assessment level: Conservation practices and managements are in place to minimize surface water impacts AND surface waters are protected from contamination due to runoff and leaching from storage sites, spill and other concentrated sources.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Livestock access to stream is controlled OR limited to small watering or crossing areas.

Yes  No

Manure and untreated runoff from animal pens, feedlots, or similar AFO is stopped from entering nearby streams, drainage ditches, and irrigation ditches.

Yes  No

**Excess Pathogens and Chemicals from Manure, Bio-solids or Compost Applications in Surface Water**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Potential sources of pathogens or pharmaceuticals are not applied on the land. Assessment level: Organic materials are applied, stored, and/or handled to mitigate negative impacts to surface water sources.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Manure and untreated runoff from animal pens, feedlots, or similar AFO is stopped from entering nearby streams, drainage ditches, and irrigation ditches.

Yes  No

Livestock access to stream is controlled OR limited to small watering or crossing areas.

Yes  No

Any water well(s) is located at least 100 feet from animal pens, feedlots, or similar AFO. Runoff from these areas is treated. An impervious barrier around the well prevents seepage into the groundwater.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Farmstead**

**Excess Pathogens and Chemicals from Manure, Bio-solids or Compost Applications in Ground Water**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Potential sources of pathogens or pharmaceuticals are not applied on the land. Assessment level: Organic materials are applied, stored, and/or handled to mitigate negative impacts to groundwater sources.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Any water well(s) is located at least 100 feet from animal pens, feedlots, or similar AFO. Runoff from these areas is treated. An impervious barrier around the well prevents seepage into the groundwater.

Yes  No

**Petroleum, Heavy Metal and Other Pollutants Transported to Surface Water**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Activities do not present the potential for contamination by petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants. Assessment level: Petroleum, heavy metals or other potential pollutants are stored and handled to avoid runoff to surface water.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

The fuel storage area and tank is located: - above the 100-year floodplain, - a minimum of 100 feet from any river, stream, ditch, pond, lake, sinkhole, wetland, or water well, and - within a stable place designed to provide secondary containment if the primary means were to fail.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Farmstead**

**Petroleum, Heavy Metal and Other Pollutants Transported to Ground Water**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Activities do not present the potential for contamination by petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants.  
 Assessment level: Petroleum, heavy metals or other potential pollutants are stored and handled to avoid runoff to groundwater.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

The fuel storage area and tank is located: - above the 100-year floodplain, - a minimum of 100 feet from any river, stream, ditch, pond, lake, sinkhole, wetland, or water well, and - within a stable place designed to provide secondary containment if the primary means were to fail.

Yes  No

**Excessive Sediment in Surface Water**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Permanent ground cover > 90% and slope < 10% AND classic gullies are not present AND streams or shoreline are not on or adjacent to site. Assessment level: Upslope treatment and buffer practices address concentrated flows to water bodies AND the SVAP2 - bank condition  $\geq 5$  AND the livestock and vehicle water crossings are stable AND The water erosion rate is  $\leq T$  AND wind erosion rate is  $\leq T$ .

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

All small, temporary or permanent rills and gullies are stabilized.

Yes  No

---

Water runoff from hard surfaces, such as building roofs, is controlled to the point that it does not cause erosion or large streams of water.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Farmstead**

**Elevated Water Temperature**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Water courses on or adjacent to the site are not designated by a State Agency as a temperature impairment OR water course temperature is not a client concern. Assessment level: The SVAP2 - riparian area quality element score is  $\geq 5$  AND the SVAP2 - riparian area quantity quality element score is  $\geq 5$  AND the SVAP2 - canopy cover element score is  $\geq 6$ , OR existing conservation practices are in place to address water temperature.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

More than 50 percent of the water surface is shaded on the length of the stream/river you control.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Farmstead**

**Air Quality Impacts**

**Emissions of Particulate Matter (PM) and PM Precursors**

**Planning Criteria**

Screening level: Activities are not present that contribute to agricultural source PM or PM precursor emissions AND episodes or complaints of emissions of PM (dust, smoke, exhaust, etc.), or chemical drift have not occurred. PM producing activity examples are: Prescribed Burn is conducted, Travel ways unpaved or untreated with binding agents, Engines (combustion source), Tillage, Pesticides are applied, Fertilization (manure/ commercial), CAFO/manure management). Assessment level: PM and PM Precursor emissions are managed to meet client objectives.

**Planning Criteria Met**

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

Dust is controlled on all non-vegetated, unpaved travel ways.

**Evaluation Test Met**

Yes  No

**Emissions of Ozone Precursors**

**Planning Criteria**

Screening level: Operations are not present that produce ozone precursor emissions. Ozone precursor producing activities are: Engines (combustion source), Pesticide application, Burning, CAFO/manure management, Fertilization (manure/commercial). Assessment level: Ozone precursor emissions are managed to meet client objectives.

**Planning Criteria Met**

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

Energy-efficient vehicles, equipment, and actions are used to lessen discharges of NOx and SOx. For example, using the minimum level of equipment needed to accomplish the activity, minimizing number of trips into the forest, and leaving woody residue in place if not a fire or pest hazard.

**Evaluation Test Met**

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Farmstead**

**Emission of Greenhouse Gases (GHGs)**

**Planning Criteria**

Screening level: Activities are not present that produce GHGs emissions. GHG producing activities are: Fertilization(manure/commercial), CAFO/manure management, Engines (combustion source), Tillage, AND GHGs are not regulated in this planning area. Assessment level: Greenhouse gas emmissions are managed to meet client objectives.

**Planning Criteria Met**

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

Energy-efficient vehicles, equipment, and actions are used to lessen discharges of NOx and SOx. For example, using the minimum level of equipment needed to accomplish the activity, minimizing number of trips into the forest, and leaving woody residue in place if not a fire or pest hazard.

**Evaluation Test Met**

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Farmstead**

**Degraded Plant Condition**

**Undesirable Plant Productivity and Health**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Plant production and health is not a client concern.  
Assessment level: Plants are adapted to the site, meet production goals and do not negatively impact other resources AND plant damage from wind erosion is below Crop Damage Tolerance levels.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

The forest or woodlot is fully stocked with tree species adapted to the site, has spacing for good tree growth and air flow between and beneath, does not have excessive tree mortality, has an understory made up of desirable species and is not inhibited by brush or other undesirable vegetation. Monitoring for Insects and disease is completed to prevent outbreaks that would be detrimental to forest health.

Yes  No

**Inadequate Structure and Composition**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Plant communities support the intended land use and desired ecological functions. Assessment level: Plant communities contain adequate diversity, composition and structure to support desired ecological functions.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

The forest or woodlot is fully stocked with tree species adapted to the site, has spacing for good tree growth and air flow between and beneath, does not have excessive tree mortality, has an understory made up of desirable species and is not inhibited by brush or other undesirable vegetation

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Farmstead**

**Excessive Plant Pest Pressure**

**Planning Criteria**

Screening level: Plant productivity is not limited from pest pressure. Assessment level: Pest damage to plants are below economic or environmental thresholds or client-identified criteria AND plant pests, including noxious and invasive species are managed to meet client objectives.

**Planning Criteria Met**

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

Invasive and noxious weeds are controlled or not present.

**Evaluation Test Met**

Yes  No

**Wildfire Hazard, Excessive Biomass Accumulation**

**Planning Criteria**

Screening level: Wildfire hazards is not a concern. Assessment level: Fuel loads and fuel ladders are managed to provide defensible space and meet client objectives.

**Planning Criteria Met**

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

Fire risk to sensitive sites are controlled by treatment, removal or modification of vegetation, debris and detritus in a strip or area.

**Evaluation Test Met**

Yes  No

Sites needing wildfire protection or using prescribed burning have a permanent or temporary strip of bare or vegetated land that retards fire.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Farmstead**

**Fish and Wildlife - Inadequate Habitat**

**Inadequate Habitat - Food**

**Planning Criteria**

Assessment level: The WHSI rating is  $\geq 0.5$  AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - fish habitat complexity element score is  $\geq 7$  AND the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is  $\geq 7$ , OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR food is available in quality and extent to support habitat requirements for the species of interest.

**Planning Criteria Met**

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

Designated areas are planted as food and habitat for pollinators/beneficial insects. For example, planted to nectar and pollen producing plants and protected from disruption--chemical, biological, or mechanical.

**Evaluation Test Met**

Yes  No

**Inadequate Habitat - Cover/Shelter**

**Planning Criteria**

Assessment level: The WHSI rating is  $\geq 0.5$  AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - barriers to movement element score is  $\geq 7$  AND the SVAP2 - fish habitat complexity element score is  $\geq 7$  AND the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is  $\geq 7$ , OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR cover is of available quality and extent to support habitat requirements for the species of interest.

**Planning Criteria Met**

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

Plant growth and cover is managed to develop and maintain habitat to help chosen wildlife species. <see State Wildlife Action Plan>

**Evaluation Test Met**

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Farmstead**

**Inadequate Habitat - Habitat Continuity (Space)**

**Planning Criteria**

Assessment level: The WHSI rating is  $\geq 0.5$  AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - barriers to movement element score is  $\geq 7$  AND the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is  $\geq 7$ , OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR The connectivity of habitat components are adequate to support stable populations of targeted species.

**Planning Criteria Met**

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

People, vehicles, equipment, or livestock are only moved across a stream/river at a bridge, culvert, or stabilized ford crossing(s). Travel across the stream/river beyond these crossings is controlled.

**Evaluation Test Met**

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Farmstead**

**Inefficient Energy Use**

**Equipment and Facilities**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Client is not interested in improving equipment and facilities energy efficiency. Assessment level: Major components of a USDA approved energy audit have been implemented that address equipment and facilities to meet client objectives OR On-farm renewable energy and/or energy conserving practices have been implemented to meet client objectives.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Energy loss from lighting, drying, refrigeration, cooling, heating, or building insulation has been improved.

Yes  No

Renewable energy systems are applied. For example, solar, wind, geothermal, or hydro.

Yes  No

**Farming/Ranching Practices and Field Operations**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Client is not interested in improving equipment and facilities energy efficiency. Assessment level: Major components of a USDA approved energy audit have been implemented that address equipment and facilities to meet client objectives OR On-farm renewable energy and/or energy conserving practices have been implemented to meet client objectives.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Energy loss from driven equipment, irrigation, or pumping has been improved.

Yes  No

Renewable energy systems are applied. For example, solar, wind, geothermal, or hydro.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Forest**

**Soil Erosion**

**Sheet and Rill Erosion**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Soil surface organic residue cover > 80%. Assessment level: Site is stable and without visible signs of erosion.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Drainage and erosion control measures are implemented on trails and landings to minimize detrimental effects of concentrated flow, erosion and sedimentation. Stream crossings are restored and stabilized.

Yes  No

The forest floor is covered with leaves, needles, fine woody debris, rocks, and/or herbaceous vegetation that protects the soil on more than 80 percent of the area.

Yes  No

**Wind Erosion**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Soil surface organic residue cover > 80%. Assessment level: Site is stable and without visible signs of erosion.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

The forest floor is covered with leaves, needles, fine woody debris, rocks, and/or herbaceous vegetation that protects the soil on more than 80 percent of the area.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Forest**

**Classic Gully Erosion**

**Planning Criteria**

Screening level: Classic gullies are not present. Assessment level:  
Classic gully management is adequate to stop the progression of head  
cutting and widening and are offsite impacts are minimized by  
vegetation and/or structures.

**Planning Criteria Met**

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

Drainage and erosion control measures are implemented on trails and  
landings to minimize detrimental effects of concentrated flow, erosion  
and sedimentation. Stream crossings are restored and stabilized.

**Evaluation Test Met**

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Forest**

**Soil Quality Degradation**

**Organic Matter Depletion**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Soil organic matter depletion is not a problem AND activities do not cause soil organic matter depletion. Assessment level: Ground cover meets state criteria specific to ecological site.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

The forest floor is covered with leaves, needles, fine woody debris, rocks, and/or herbaceous vegetation that protects the soil on more than 80 percent of the area. The topsoil is not displaced. Woody residue is being added to the forest floor through branch breakage and treefalls.

Yes  No

**Compaction**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Soil compaction is not a problem AND activities do not cause soil compaction problems. Assessment level: Compaction is managed to meet client's production and management objectives.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Soil compaction is limited to roads and landings. Tree root growth is not impeded. No more than 15 percent of the forested area is devoted to roads, trails, and landings.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Forest**

**Subsidence**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Histisol soils are not present OR Histisols soils are not exhibiting subsidence. Assessment level: Subsidence is adequately managed to meet client's objectives.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

The forest floor is covered with leaves, needles, fine woody debris, rocks, and/or herbaceous vegetation that protects the soil on more than 90 percent of the area. There is no artificial drainage operating on the site.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Forest**

**Excess Water**

**Runoff and Flooding and Ponding**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Ponding or flooding not a problem AND activities do not cause ponding/flooding problems. Assessment level: Excess water is managed to meet client's objectives.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Drainage and erosion control measures are implemented on trails and landings to minimize detrimental effects of concentrated flow, erosion and sedimentation. Stream crossings are restored and stabilized.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Forest**

**Water Quality Degradation**

**Pesticides in Surface Water**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Pest control chemicals are not applied. Assessment level: Pesticides are stored, handled, disposed and managed to prevent runoff, spills, leaks and leaching AND conservation practices and managements are in place to minimize surface water impacts.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

A site-specific mixture of prevention, avoidance, monitoring, and suppression (PAMS) strategies are applied. If pesticide application is required, an environmental risk screening tool is used (such as WIN-PST or similar LGU approval tool) and application rates and timing are compliant with the label and the conservation plan.

Yes  No

**Pesticides in Ground Water**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Pest control chemicals are not applied. Assessment level: Pesticides are stored, handled, disposed and managed to prevent runoff, spills, leaks and leaching AND conservation practices and managements are in place to minimize ground water impacts.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Pesticides are applied using a site-specific mixture of prevention, avoidance, monitoring, and suppression (PAMS) strategies. Environmental risk screening tool are used (such as WIN-PST or similar LGU approval tool). Application rates and timing are compliant with the label and the conservation plan.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Forest**

**Petroleum, Heavy Metal and Other Pollutants Transported to Surface Water**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Activities do not present the potential for contamination by petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants.  
 Assessment level: Petroleum, heavy metals or other potential pollutants are stored and handled to avoid runoff to surface water.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

The fuel storage area and tank is located: - above the 100-year floodplain, - a minimum of 100 feet from any river, stream, ditch, pond, lake, sinkhole, wetland, or water well, and - within a stable place designed to provide secondary containment if the primary means were to fail.

Yes  No

Drainage and erosion control measures are implemented on trails and landings to minimize detrimental effects of concentrated flow, erosion and sedimentation. Stream crossings are restored and stabilized.

Yes  No

**Petroleum, Heavy Metal and Other Pollutants Transported to Ground Water**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

A site-specific mixture of prevention, avoidance, monitoring, and suppression (PAMS) strategies are applied. If pesticide application is required, an environmental risk screening tool is used (such as WIN-PST or similar LGU approval tool) and application rates and timing are compliant with the label and the conservation plan.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

The fuel storage area and tank is located: - above the 100-year floodplain, - a minimum of 100 feet from any river, stream, ditch, pond, lake, sinkhole, wetland, or water well, and - within a stable place designed to provide secondary containment if the primary means were to fail.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Forest**

**Excessive Sediment in Surface Water**

**Planning Criteria**

Screening level: There are no untreated sources of erosion AND streams or shoreline are not on or adjacent to site. Assessment level: Upslope treatment and buffer practices address concentrated flows to water bodies AND heavy use areas are stable AND the SVAP2 - bank condition is  $\geq 5$ .

**Planning Criteria Met**

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

The land adjacent to a stream, river, or other waterbody on the side or sides you control does: - have diverse, natural plant cover typical to that along streams in your area, - extend from the stream bank/shoreline for a distance of 35 feet or (if applicable) the minimum State buffer-width requirement, whichever is greater, AND - have few places where concentrated runoff flows through.

**Evaluation Test Met**

Yes  No

Drainage and erosion control measures are implemented on trails and landings to minimize detrimental effects of concentrated flow, erosion and sedimentation. Stream crossings are restored and stabilized.

Yes  No

**Elevated Water Temperature**

**Planning Criteria**

Screening level: Water courses on or adjacent to the site are not designated by a State Agency as a temperature impairment OR water course temperature is not a client concern. Assessment level: The SVAP2 - riparian area quality element score is  $\geq 5$  AND the SVAP2 - riparian area quantity quality element score is  $\geq 5$  AND the SVAP2 - canopy cover element score is  $\geq 6$ , OR existing conservation practices are in place to address water temperature.

**Planning Criteria Met**

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

More than 50 percent of the water surface is shaded on the length of the stream/river you control.

**Evaluation Test Met**

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Forest**

**Air Quality Impacts**

**Emission of Greenhouse Gases (GHGs)**

**Planning Criteria**

Screening level: Activities are not present that produce GHGs emissions. GHG producing activities are: Fertilization(manure/commercial), CAFO/manure management, Engines (combustion source), Tillage, AND GHGs are not regulated in this planning area. Assessment level: Greenhouse gas emmissions are managed to meet client objectives.

**Planning Criteria Met**

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

The forest or woodlot is fully stocked with tree species adapted to the site. Species have high-growth rates or long life span with the ability to reach a large size.

**Evaluation Test Met**

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Forest**

**Degraded Plant Condition**

**Inadequate Structure and Composition**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Plant communities support the intended land use and desired ecological functions. Assessment level: Plant communities contain adequate diversity, composition and structure to support desired ecological functions.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

The forest or woodlot is fully stocked with tree species adapted to the site, has spacing for good tree growth and air flow between and beneath, does not have excessive tree mortality, has an understory made up of desirable species and is not inhibited by brush or other undesirable vegetation

Yes  No

**Excessive Plant Pest Pressure**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Plant productivity is not limited from pest pressure. Assessment level: Pest damage to plants are below economic or environmental thresholds or client-identified criteria AND plant pests, including noxious and invasive species are managed to meet client objectives.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Invasive and noxious weeds are controlled or not present.

Yes  No

The current plant composition prevents outbreak of non-desirable species.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Forest**

**Wildfire Hazard, Excessive Biomass Accumulation**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Screening level: Wildfire hazards is not a concern. Assessment level: Fuel loads and fuel ladders are managed to provide defensible space and meet client objectives.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

The forest or woodlot is fully stocked with tree species adapted to the site, has spacing for good tree growth and air flow between and beneath, does not have excessive tree mortality, has an understory made up of desirable species and is not inhibited by brush or other undesirable vegetation. Monitoring for Insects and disease is completed to prevent outbreaks that would be detrimental to forest health. Woody debris on the forest floor supports wildlife but does not present an elevated fire risk.

Yes  No

---

Fire risk to sensitive sites are controlled by treatment, removal or modification of vegetation, debris and detritus in a strip or area.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Forest**

**Fish and Wildlife - Inadequate Habitat**

**Inadequate Habitat - Food**

**Planning Criteria**

Assessment level: The WHSI rating is  $\geq 0.5$  AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - fish habitat complexity element score is  $\geq 7$  AND the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is  $\geq 7$ , OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR food is available in quality and extent to support habitat requirements for the species of interest.

**Planning Criteria Met**

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

Designated areas are planted as food and habitat for pollinators/beneficial insects. For example, planted to nectar and pollen producing plants and protected from disruption--chemical, biological, or mechanical.

**Evaluation Test Met**

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Forest**

**Inadequate Habitat - Cover/Shelter**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Assessment level: The WHSI rating is  $\geq 0.5$  AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - barriers to movement element score is  $\geq 7$  AND the SVAP2 - fish habitat complexity element score is  $\geq 7$  AND the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is  $\geq 7$ , OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR cover is of available quality and extent to support habitat requirements for the species of interest.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Dead and/or down trees are intentionally left in the forest to provide wildlife cover.

Yes  No

The stream(s) have: - a natural, unaltered configuration, with minimal channel straightening, dredging, or bank alteration by armoring with rip-rap or other non-natural materials, - stable banks with limited erosion or bank failure, and - human uses and/or grazing levels that do not negatively impact bank condition.

Yes  No

The plant cover provides cover and shelter for the chosen wildlife species.

Yes  No

**Inadequate Habitat - Water**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Assessment level: The WHSI rating is  $\geq 0.5$  AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is  $\geq 7$ , OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR water is available in quality and extent to support habitat requirements for the species of interest.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

Access to water is at the right height, depth and time of year for wildlife species.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Forest**

**Inadequate Habitat - Habitat Continuity (Space)**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Assessment level: The WHSI rating is  $\geq 0.5$  AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - barriers to movement element score is  $\geq 7$  AND the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is  $\geq 7$ , OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR The connectivity of habitat components are adequate to support stable populations of targeted species.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

In-stream structures (dam, diversion structure, bridge, culvert, low-water stream crossing, etc.) allow for the upstream/downstream movement of fish and other aquatic animals throughout most of the year.

Yes  No

Connectivity between food resources and cover and shelter is provided for the chosen wildlife species. <see State Wildlife Action Plan>

Yes  No

Plant growth is managed to develop and maintain early successional habitat to help chosen wildlife species. <see State Wildlife Action Plan>

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Forest**

**Livestock Production Limitation**

**Inadequate Feed and Forage**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Assessment level: When the land use has a "grazed" modifier, livestock forage, roughage and supplemental nutritional requirements addressed.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

An existing prescribed grazing plan is on schedule. Animal stocking levels and rotation periods are designed to avoid harm to sensitive plants.

Yes  No

**Inadequate Shelter**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Assessment level: When the land use has a "grazed" modifier, artificial or natural shelters meet animal health needs and client objectives.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

An existing prescribed grazing plan is on schedule. Animal stocking levels and rotation periods are designed to lessen exposure to inclement weather or other site specific concerns.

Yes  No

**Inadequate Water**

**Planning Criteria**

**Planning Criteria Met**

Assessment level: When the land use has a "grazed" modifier, water of acceptable quality and quantity adequately distributed to meet animal needs.

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

**Evaluation Test Met**

An existing prescribed grazing plan is on schedule. Animal stocking levels and rotation periods are designed to utilize available water sources without damaging them.

Yes  No

**CSP-2017-1 CO - NIPF (General, SDFR &BFR) Forest**

**Inefficient Energy Use**

**Farming/Ranching Practices and Field Operations**

**Planning Criteria**

Screening level: Client is not interested in improving equipment and facilities energy efficiency. Assessment level: A USDA approved energy audit has been implemented that address equipment and facilities to meet client objectives OR On-farm renewable energy and/or energy conserving practices have been implemented to meet client objectives.

**Planning Criteria Met**

Yes  No

**Evaluation Tests**

Energy-efficient actions are used in forest management activities. For example, limiting the number of trips into the forest, or leaving woody residue in place if it is not a fire or pest hazard.

**Evaluation Test Met**

Yes  No